





12th Workshop on Antarctic Meteorology and Climate

Climate change in Antarctica : contribution of the Global Climate Model with a high regional resolution

Julien Beaumet¹, Gerhard Krinner¹, Gwenn Lenormand¹, Etienne Vignon¹ & Michel Déqué²

> ¹ IGE, UGA, CNRS, Grenoble, France ² CNRM-GAME,Météo-France,Toulouse,France

> > Special thanks: - Hubert Gallée, Antoinette Alias, Cécile Agosta, Vincent Favier & LMD team - SCAR



AND AND A SILVER A SI

NCAR, Boulder (CO) 16th June 2017



 Classical methods for the downscaling of climate scenarios :





 Classical methods for the downscaling of climate scenarios :



Contribution of VarGCM ?



- Contribution of VarGCM for downscaling future climate scenarios ?
 - Directly downscale & bias-correct oceanic forcings from coupled models scenarios
 - Do not depend on the reliability of the forcing at its lateral boundary
 - Bias correction of systematic errors on atmospheric general circulation





- Importance of oceanic forcings
 - Large influence on polar climates
 - More and more future climate scenarios downscaling with biascorrected future SST (and SIC) Ashfaq et al., 2010;

Krinner *et al.*, 2008 ; Hernandez-Diaz *et al.*, 2016

 Future scenarios in Antarctica : more sensitive to future oceanic boundary conditions than to future GHG concentrations Krinner et al., 2014



FIG. 5. Surface mass balance skill (between 0 and 1; dimensionless). (a) Simulation O20; (b) difference between O20 and S20.

Krinner *et al.*, 2008. Difference in Surface mass balance skill between simulation forced by 5 observed SST/SIC and GCM SST/SIC





- I. Model evaluation on present climate : LMDZ
 - 1. Bias-correction of atm. circulation (LMDZv5)
 - 2. Improving surface climate representation (LMDZv6)
- **II. Perspectives & Conclusion**

LMDZv5 (CMIP5 version)

* AMIP sim. : forced by observed SST and SIC (pcmdi)

- High resolution configuration
 - 360 lon * 142 lat
 - 3x zoom south of $60^{\circ}S$
 - ~ 45 kms resolution over Antarctica
 - → Considerable computational cost
 - → Same bias structure as low resolution simulations
- Low resolution test configuration
 - 96 lon * 95 lat
 - 2x zoom south of 60°S
 - ~ 125 kms resolution over Antarctica
 - 3 simulations :
 - Free
 - Nudged : u,v,T adjusted on ERA-Int every 6h / BL not guided
 - Bias-corrected

LMDZv5 (CMIP5 version)

* AMIP sim. : forced by observed SST and SIC (pcmdi)

- High resolution configuration
 - 360 lon * 142 lat
 - 3x zoom south of $60^{\circ}S$
 - ~ 45 kms resolution over Antarctica
 - \rightarrow Considerable computational cost
 - → Same bias structure as low resolution simulations
- Low resolution test configuration
 - 96 lon * 95 lat
 - 2x zoom south of 60°S
 - ~ 125 kms resolution over Antarctica
 - 3 simulations :

Bias-corrected

- Free

- Nudged : u.v.T adjusted on ERA-Int every 6h / BL not guided

IGE

Atmospheric bias correction in a GCM : Use climatology of the model drift in the nudged simulation to correct "free" simulation

Nudging:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = F(X) - \frac{1}{\tau} (X - X_R)$$

Bias correction:

 $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = F(X) + G$ where G is the empirical bias correction $G = -\frac{1}{\tau} \overline{(X - X_R)}^{AC}.$

G ~ **Daily climatology** of the **model drift** with respect to the reanalysis

GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 39, L18803, doi:10.1029/2012GL052815, 2012

The impact of model fidelity on seasonal predictive skill

V. V. Kharin¹ and J. F. Scinocca¹

Tellus (2005), 57A, 575–588 Printed in Singapore. All rights reserved

Copyright © Blackwell Munksgaard, 2005

TELLUS

Reduction of systematic errors by empirical model correction: impact on seasonal prediction skill

By A. GULDBERG^{1*}, E. KAAS¹, M. DÉQUÉ², S. YANG¹ and S. VESTER THORSEN¹, ¹Climate Research Division, Danish Meteorological Institute, Lyngbyvej 100, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark; ²Météo-France, Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques, 42 Avenue Coriolis, F-31057 Toulouse Cedex, France

Application for future climate scenario ? → Demonstrate stationarity of climate model biases (Krinner & Flanner, 2017 (subm.))

Nudged simulations : u,v,T above the atmospheric boundary layer

LMDZv5 (CMIP5 version)

General circulation : comparisons with ERA-Interim



Free LMDZv5 - ERA-Int : Sea level Pressure (hPa)

Corrected LMDZv5 - ERA-Int : Sea level Pressure (hPa)



Model biases on general circulation are (almost) completely removed in the biascorrected simulation





Surface climate : comparisons with ERA-Interim ?



Fig 3. <u>Freville *et al.*, 2014</u>: ERA-Interim bias with respect to MODIS clear-sky surface temperatures

LMDZv5 (CMIP5 version)

Surface climate : comparisons with MAR-RCM

MAR-RCM :

Not an observation but ...

- ERA-Interim driven
- Complex snow model (CROCUS) and physics adapted to polar regions
- 35 kms horizontal resolution
 - \rightarrow Fair reference for less skilled GCM over Antarctica

$R^2 = 0.873$ $R^2 = 0.858$ $R^2 = 0.954$ 500 200 002 50 20 20 10 9 9 10 ß ß 50 500 50 500 5 5 5 50 500 2200-2800 2800-3400 3400-4100 $B^2 = 0.92$ $B^2 = 0.831$ $B^2 = 0.962$ 500 500 500 50 20 50 10 5 5 ß ß ß 500 500 5 50 50 5 50 500 5

Comparison between MAR modelled and Observed (SAMBA data base, Favier *et al.*, 2013) surface mass 12 balance (mmWe) per altitude classes (m)

SMB comparison from MAR and OBS Altitude weighted

not grounded 0-1200 1200-2200



LMDZv5 (CMIP5 version)

Surface climate : comparisons with MAR-RCM



Free LMDZv5 - MAR-ERA-Int : 2m Temperature (1981-2000)

Corrected LMDZv5 - MAR-ERA-Int : 2m Temperature (1981-2000)



LMDZv5 (CMIP5 version)

Surface climate : comparisons with MAR-RCM

Free LMDZv5 - MAR-ERA-Int : 2m Temperature (1981-2000)



	Year	JJA	DJF
Admundsen- Scott	4.9	5.9	3.3
Vostock	7	9.9	2.8
Dumont D'Urville	-5.6	-5.4	-5.4
Mc Murdo	-2.3	-1.6	-1.9

Tab : Bias on $2m T^{\circ} LMDZv5$ corrected simulation with monthly READER obs

Corrected LMDZv5 - MAR-ERA-Int : 2m Temperature (1981-2000)



Correction of general circulation errors improve slightly the modeling of T2m but the **warm bias mainly in winter** remains considerable

LMDZv5 (CMIP5 version)

Precipitations and surface mass balance : comparisons with MAR-RCM



IGE

LMDZv5 – Intermediate conclusions

- **Bias-correction** using nudged simulation is a convenient way to correct model **systematic errors** on general atmospheric circulation
- LMDZv5 errors on Antarctic surface climate limit its application for the study of Antarctic climate and climate change
 - → Simulations with LMDZv6 (CMIP6 version)
 - \rightarrow 2 simulations (low resolution) :
 - Free
 - Nudged : u,v,T adjusted on ERA-Int every 6h / BL not guided
 - Main changes in LMDZ6
 - 39 \rightarrow 79 vertical levels
 - RRTM radiative scheme
 - New physic (Boundary layer, precipitations...)

LMDZv6 (CMIP6 version)

General circulation : comparisons with ERA-Interim

Free LMDZv5 - ERA-Int : Sea level Pressure (hPa)



Free LMDZv6 - ERA-Int : Sea level Pressure (hPa)



Model bias structure is similar but bias magnitude is greatly reduced

LMDZv6 (CMIP6 version)

Surface climate : comparisons with MAR-RCM



Free LMDZv6 - MAR-ERA-Int : 2m Temperature (1981-2000)

Nudged LMDZv6 - MAR-ERA-Int : 2m Temperature (1981-2000)





LMDZv6 (CMIP6 version)

Surface climate : comparisons with MAR-RCM



Free LMDZv6 - MAR-ERA-Int : 2m Temperature (1981-2000)

	Year	JJA	DJF
Admundsen -Scott	-1.9	-4.2	0.98
Vostock	-1.8	-4.1	-0.27
Dumont d'Urville	-11.9	-12.8	-10.1
Mc Murdo	-12.6	-17.1	-5.9

Tab : Bias on 2m T° LMDZv6 nudged simulationwith monthly READER obs

- **Summer** T° : ok !
- Winter T2m is now ~ 5°K to cold on the Antarctic Plateau
 - \rightarrow Need further calibration
- ≠ between **nudged** and 19 free simulation reduced

Nudged LMDZv6 - MAR-ERA-Int : 2m Temperature (1981-2000)





II. Perspectives

Other work

- Bias correction methods for oceanic forcing boundary conditions for atmospheric models, J. Beaumet *et al.* (*in prep*)
- Running and evaluating high resolution simulation with ARPEGE GCM (MeteoFrance)





II. Perspectives

LMDZv6

- Integration of new **developments** on **stable boundary layers** (Vignon *et al., J. Geoph. Res.*, 2017) into CMIP6 version (June 2017) \rightarrow further calibration + researches on gravity waves

- Perform high resolution simulations and validate with observations (READER & SAMBA) data base or high resolution data set (MAR, CROCUS run, MODIS surface T°)

- Projections with empiric bias correction (oceanic forcing and model systematic errors on atmospheric circulation)

Cordex

→ Contribute to Antarctic Cordex with high resolution simulations from LMDZ and ARPEGE



References

- Ashfaq, M., Skinner, C.B., and Diffenbaugh, N.S., 2011: Influence of SST biases on future climate change projection. Climate Dynamics. Doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0875-2
- Favier, V., Agosta, C., Parouty, S., Durand, G., Delaygue, G., 2013. An updated and quality controlled surface mass balance dataset for Antarctica. The Cryosphere, 7(2), 583-597.
- Fréville, H., E. Brun, G. Picard, Tatarinova N., Arnaud L., Lanconelli C., Reijmer C., van den Broeke M: 2014. Using MODIS land surface temperatures and Crocus snow model to understand the warm bias of ERA-Interim reanalyses at the surface in Antarctica, *The Cryosphere*, **8**, 1361-1373.
- Guldberg, A., Kaas, E., Déqué, M., Yang, S. & Vester Thorsen, S., 2005. Reduction of systematic errors by empirical model correction : impact on seasonal prediction skill. *Tellus A : Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, **57:4**, 575-588.
- Hernandez-Diaz, L., Laprise, R., Nikiéma, O., and Winger, K., 2016. 3-Step dynamical downscaling with empirical correction of sea-surface conditions: applications to a CORDEX Africa simulation. *Clim. Dyn.*, **48**, 2215-2233.
- Kharin, V. & Scinocca, J., 2012. The impact of model fidelity on seasonal predictive skill. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39**, doi:10.1029/2012GL052815.
- Krinner, G., Guicherd, B., Ox, K., Genthon, C., and Magand, O., 2008: Influence of oceanic boundary conditions in simulations of Antarctic climate and surface mass balance in the coming century. *Journal of Climate*, **21**, 938-962.
- Krinner, G., Rinke, A., Dethloff, K., and Gorodetskaya, I.V., 2010: Impact of prescribed Arctic sea ice thickness in simulations of the present and future climate. *Climate Dynamics* 35:619-633.
- Krinner, G., Largeron, C., Ménégoz, M., Agosta, C., Brutel-Vuilmet C., 2014: Oceanic forcing of Antarctic climate change: A study using a stretched-grid Atmospheric general circulation model. *Journal of Climate*, **27**, 5786-5800.
- Vignon E, Hourdin F, Genthon C, Gallée H, Bazile E, Lefebvre M-P, Madeleine J-B and van de Wiel B J H. Antarctic Boundary-Layer Parametrization in a General Circulation Model:1D simulations facing summer observations at Dome C. (2017) *J* . *Geophys.Res. Atmos*, online READER data base : https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/met/READER/background.html

Thanks ! Questions ?



Wintering of J.Charcot expedition, Graham Land, 1905

Annex

LMDZv5 (CMIP5 version)

Surface climate : comparisons with ERA-Interim

Free LMDZv5 - ERAi : Surface Temperature (C)



Correction of the general circulation errors improve slightly the modeling of surface temperatures but the **warm bias in winter** remains considerable

!!!

Corrected LMDZv5 - ERAi : Surface Temperature (C)



LMDZv6 (CMIP6 version)

Surface climate : comparisons with ERA-Interim

Free LMDZv6 - ERA-Int : Surface Temperature (C)



Nudged LMDZv6 - ERA-Int : Surface Temperature (C)



• Summer surface temp. : ok !

<u>!!!</u>

- Winter surface temp. Is now probably > 5°K to cold on the Antarctic Plateau
 - → Need further
 verifications and
 understandings of
 LMDZ6 unusual bias
 structure